metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Lawrence E. Moore Jr and Richard E. Norman*

Department of Chemistry, Box 2117, Sam Houston State University, Huntsville, TX 77341, USA

Correspondence e-mail: norman@shsu.edu

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 110 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.042 wR factor = 0.072 Data-to-parameter ratio = 22.0

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

trans-Diaquabis(N,N'-dimethylethane-1,2diamine- $\kappa^2 N,N'$)nickel(II) dichloride dihydrate

The title complex, $[Ni(C_4H_{12}N_2)_2(H_2O)_2]Cl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$, consists of two chloride ions, two water molecules and a complex dication. The nickel(II) center is pseudo-octahedral six-coordinate, with a *trans* geometry and is located on an inversion center. The coordinated water molecule hydrogen bonds to two chloride ions, as does the uncoordinated water molecule. The uncoordinated water molecule also accepts a hydrogen bond from one of the secondary amines.

Comment

We have reported magneto-structural studies of nickel(II) dimers containing the $\{NiX\}_2$ core, where X is Cl and Br (Tong *et al.*, 1999, 2000; Xie & Norman, 2006), and observed that a bridging bromide mediates stronger ferromagnetic coupling between six-coordinate nickel(II) centers than does a bridging chloride for the same effective ferromagnetic coupling pathway. Consequently, we have been attempting the synthesis and structural characterization of additional examples. In this context, the title compound, (I), was prepared and characterized.



The title compound contains a dicationic nickel(II) complex, two chloride counter-ions and two solvent water molecules. The dication is a centrosymmetric pseudo-octa-hedral nickel(II) complex of *trans* geometry with two dimethylethylenediamine ligands providing four N atoms that serve as an equatorial plane for the octahedral arrangement. The axial positions are occupied by water molecules.

It is somewhat surprising that all of the nickel(II)-to-ligand atom distances are somewhat long. The median value for sixcoordinate secondary amine Ni–N distances reported by Orpen *et al.* (1989) is 2.098 Å while the upper quartile distance is 2.121 Å. One of the Ni–N distances falls at the median [2.098 (2) Å], while the other [2.123 (1) Å] falls in the upper quartile, as does the Ni–O distance of 2.135 (1) Å (upper quartile of similar distances 2.105 Å; Orpen *et al.*, 1989). Not

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved Received 9 June 2006 Accepted 22 August 2006



Figure 1

View of the dication of (I), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. Atoms with the suffix a are at the symmetry position (1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z). The minor set of disordered methyl H atoms has been omitted.





only are the nickel(II)-to-ligand atom distances long in the title complex, the similar *trans*-dibromobis(N,N'-dimethylethane-1,2-diamine- $\kappa^2 N,N'$)nickel(II) (Ariyananda & Norman, 2006*a*) and analogous chloride complex (Ariyananda & Norman, 2006*b*) also exhibit long nickel(II)-to-ligand atom distances. The observation that all of the distances are somewhat long suggests crowding about the nickel(II) center. The title compound exhibits extensive hydrogen bonding. The axial water molecule hydrogen bonds to the chloride ion in the same asymmetric unit through H13 and to an adjacent chloride ion at $(\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} - z)$. The solvent water molecule also hydrogen bonds to two adjacent chloride ions, *i.e.* at $(\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{3}{2} - z)$ and (1 - x, 1 - y, 2 - z), and accepts a hydrogen bond from atom N1.

It should be noted that the title compound is a kinetic product which recrystallizes over several days to give *trans*-dichlorobis(N,N'-dimethylethane-1,2-diamine- $\kappa^2 N,N'$)-nickel(II) (Ariyanada & Norman, 2006*b*).

Experimental

N,N'-Dimethylethane-1,2-diamine (0.545 ml, 5.12 mmol) was dissolved in 25 ml methanol. Nickel(II) chloride hexahydrate (0.6010 g, 2.53 mmol) was dissolved separately in 25 ml methanol giving a green solution. The two methanol solutions were mixed, producing a blue solution that was allowed to stand at room temperature. Crystals began to form after several hours. If the crystals are allowed to sit, they redissolve and recrystallize to give *trans*-dichlorobis(N,N'-dimethylethane-1,2-diamine- κ^2N,N')nickel(II).

Crystal data

[] *N* N

$N_1(C_4H_{12}N_2)_2(H_2O)_2]Cl_2\cdot 2H_2O$	Z = 2
$I_r = 377.97$	$D_x = 1.395 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Ionoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
= 8.7402 (15) Å	$\mu = 1.39 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
= 12.788 (3) Å	T = 110 K
= 9.011 (2) Å	Block, light purple
$s = 116.703 (13)^{\circ}$	$0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$
$V = 899.7 (3) Å^3$	

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer
with an Oxford Cryosystems
Cryostream cooler
ω scans with κ offsets
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SCALEPACK; Otwinowski &

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$ $wR(F^2) = 0.072$ S = 0.961978 reflections 90 parameters H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o) + 0.0004|F_o|^2]$ Minor, 1997) $T_{\min} = 0.734$, $T_{\max} = 0.874$ 13820 measured reflections 2774 independent reflections 1978 reflections with $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.031$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 30.8^\circ$

```
\begin{array}{l} (\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{max} = 0.36 \ e \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{min} = -0.42 \ e \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ Extinction \ correction: \ Zachariasen \ (1967) \\ Extinction \ coefficient: \\ 1.5 \ (3) \times 10^{-6} \end{array}
```

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Ni1-01	2.135 (1)	Ni1-N1 ⁱ	2.098 (2)
Ni1-O1 ⁱ	2.135 (1)	Ni1-N2	2.123 (1)
Ni1-N1	2.098 (2)	Ni1-N2 ⁱ	2.123 (1)
D1-Ni1-N1	95.46 (6)	O1-Ni1-N2 ⁱ	90.63 (5)
D1-Ni1-N1 ⁱ	84.54 (6)	N1-Ni1-N2	83.92 (6)
D1-Ni1-N2	89.37 (5)	$N1-Ni1-N2^{i}$	96.08 (6)

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

Table 2	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).	

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
O1−H13···Cl1	0.94	2.22	3.144 (2)	168
$O1-H14\cdots Cl1^{ii}$	0.95	2.14	3.080 (1)	170
O2−H15···Cl1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.89	2.37	3.250 (2)	172
$O2-H16\cdots Cl1^{iv}$	0.88	2.39	3.266 (2)	175
$N1-H1\cdots O2$	0.95	2.12	2.952 (2)	146
$N2\!-\!H2\!\cdots\!Cl1^v$	0.95	2.49	3.430 (2)	170
Symmetry codes:	(ii) $r = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$	$v + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$ (iii) $-r + \frac{1}{2}v + \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2} - 7 + \frac{3}{2}$ (iv)

Symmetry codes: (i) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2};$ (ii) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2};$ -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 2; (v) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}.$

With the exception of the water molecules, the H atoms were placed in calculated positions (C-H = N-H = 0.95 Å) and assigned displacement parameters 1.2 times larger than the atoms to which they are bound. The H atoms of the water molecules were located in difference maps, and were also assigned displacement parameters 1.2 times larger than the O atoms to which they are bound. During refinement it became apparent that the N-methyl group associated with C3 is disordered. A second set of three H atoms was placed in calculated positions using electron density in a difference map to orient the group. The occupancy of one of the H atoms (H7) was refined. The occupancy of H8 and H9 (the remaining two H atoms of that methyl group) were constrained to the occupancy of H7. The occupancies of the H atoms of the other orientation of the methyl group (H17, H18 and H19) were constrained to one minus the refined occupancy. Displacement parameters for these H atoms were assigned to be the occupancy times 1.2 times the C3 displacement parameter. This gave a model with a methyl orientation of greater occupancy (H17-H19 = 0.79) and a methyl orientation of lesser occupancy (H7–H9 = 0.21).

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 2000); cell refinement: *SCALEPACK* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *SCALEPACK* and *DENZO* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR92* (Altomare *et al.*, 1993); program(s) used to refine structure: *TEXSAN for Windows* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1999); molecular graphics: *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976) in *TEXSAN*; software used to prepare material for publication: *TEXSAN for Windows*.

The authors thank Frank Fronczek for data collection and the Louisiana Board of Regents Support Fund and the Robert A. Welch Foundation (x - 0011) for financial support.

References

- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C. & Guagliardi, A. (1993). J. Appl. Cryst. 26, 343–350.
- Ariyananda, W. G. P. & Norman, R. E. (2006a). Acta Cryst. E62, m2336– m2338.
- Ariyananda, W. G. P. & Norman, R. E. (2006b). Acta Cryst. E62, m2339m2341.
- Enraf-Nonius (1994). CAD-4 EXPRESS. Version 5.1/1.2. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1999). *TEXSAN for Windows*. Version 1.06. MSC, The Woodlands, Texas, USA.
- Nonius (2000). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Orpen, A. G., Brammer, L., Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G. & Taylor, R. (1989). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. S1–83.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Tong, B., Chang, S.-C., Carpenter, E. E., O'Connor, C. J., Lay, J. O. Jr & Norman, R. E. (2000). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 300–302, 855–861.
- Tong, B., Norman, R. E. & Chang, S.-C. (1999). Acta Cryst. C55, 1236-1238.
- Xie, M. & Norman, R. E. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, m408-m410.

Zachariasen, W. H. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 558-564.